

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/072,672	02/08/2002	Eric Thomas Eaton	PT03771U 3267			
20280	7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER			
MOTOROLA INC			NGUYEN, DUSTIN			
600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ROOM AS437			PAPER NUMBER		
LIBERTYVI	LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			2154		
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/072,672	EATON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dustin Nguyen	2154	

Examiner	Art Unit						
Dustin Nguyen	2154						
ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
	II be entered and an o	explanation of					
overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
	No. Constitution						
		nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. ☐ Other:							
John Follansbee							
SUE 21 SU							
	Dustin Nguyen  ars on the cover sheet with the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affective of Appeal (with appeal fee) in cover sheet sh	Dustin Nguyen  ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence add PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abaving replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evider tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 Cze with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the final rejection. (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropria tension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The approprishortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Officity of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, of the final three months after form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  21. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment in the final three months after form for appeal will not be entered, or by will be entered and an experience of the final three months after entry filed amendment in the final three months after entry is below or attact the date of filing a brief, by the filed after three months after entry is below or attact the date of the final three months af					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. The Affidavits filed on 03/30/2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Miller reference.
- 2. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Miller reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See Mergenthaler v. Scudder, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897).
- 3. The affidavit or declaration and exhibits must clearly explain which facts or data applicant is relying on to show completion of his or her invention prior to the particular date. Vague and general statements in broad terms about what the exhibits describe along with a general assertion that the exhibits describe a reduction to practice "amounts essentially to mere pleading, unsupported by proof or a showing of facts" and, thus, does not satisfy the requirements of 37 CFR 1.131(b). In re Borkowski, 505 F.2d 713, 184 USPQ 29 (CCPA 1974). Applicant must give a clear explanation of the exhibits pointing out exactly what facts are established and relied on by applicant. 505 F.2d at 718-19, 184 USPQ at 33. See also In re Harry, 333 F.2d 920, 142 USPQ 164 (CCPA 1964) (Affidavit "asserts that facts exist but does not tell what they are or when they occurred.").
- 4. When alleging that conception or a reduction to practice occurred prior to the effective date of the reference, the dates in the oath or declaration may be the actual dates or, if the applicant or patent owner does not desire to disclose his or her actual dates, he or she may merely allege that the acts referred to occurred prior to a specified date. However, the actual dates of acts relied on to establish diligence must be provided. See MPEP § 715.07(a) regarding the diligence requirement.
- 5. In response to improper of Final Office Action, as per remarks, Applicants' argued that the concept of transferring session data between session clients was present in Applicants' originally filed independent claims.
- 6. As per above remark, Applicants amended independent claims on 10/06/2005 with the new concept of "so as to enable the second client to seamlessly continue the at least one online session in place of the first session client after the transfer of the session information". The new limitation initiated the Final Office Action mailed on 12/07/2005, therefore the Final Office Action is proper.